	Case 8:08-mj-00365-DUTY
1 2 3 4 5 6	0
7	
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Case No. SA 08-365M (Dft 2)
12	Plaintiff, ORDER OF DETENTION
13	vs.
14 15	YU SUNG PARK,
16	Defendant.
17	I
18	A. (X) On motion of the Government in a case allegedly involving:
19	1. (X) a crime of violence.
20	2. () an offense with maximum sentence of life imprisonment or
21	death.
22	3. () a narcotics or controlled substance offense with maximum
23	sentence of ten or more years.
24	4. () any felony - where defendant convicted of two or more
25	prior offenses described above.
26	5. () any felony that is not otherwise a crime of violence that
<ul><li>27</li><li>28</li></ul>	involves a minor victim, or possession or use of a firearm or destructive
20	1

device or any other dangerous weapon, or a failure to register under 18 1 2 U.S.C. § 2250. 3 B. On motion by the Government/ ( ) on Court's own motion, in a () case allegedly involving: 4 On the further allegation by the Government of: 5 () 1. a serious risk that the defendant will flee. 6 () 7 2. () a serious risk that the defendant will: 8 () obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice. a. 9 threaten, injure or intimidate a prospective witness or () h. 10 juror, or attempt to do so. C. 11 The Government (X) is/() is not entitled to a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the 12 13 defendant's appearance as required and the safety or any person or the community. 14 15 II 16 The Court finds that no condition or combination of conditions 17 () A. will reasonably assure: 18 () the appearance of the defendant as required. 19 1. () and/or 20 21 2. (X) the safety of any person or the community. The Court finds that the defendant has not rebutted by sufficient 22 В. (X) 23 evidence to the contrary the presumption provided by statute. 24 Ш 25 The Court has considered: 26 27 the nature and circumstances of the offense(s) charged, including Α. whether the offense is a crime of violence, a Federal crime of terrorism, or 28 2

1 involves a minor victim or a controlled substance, firearm, explosive, or destructive device; 2 3 В. the weight of evidence against the defendant; C. the history and characteristics of the defendant; and 4 5 D. the nature and seriousness of the danger to any person or the community. 6 7 IV 8 The Court also has considered all the evidence adduced at the hearing and the 9 Pretrial and/or statements of counsel, and the Services 10 Report/recommendation. 11 V 12 13 The Court bases the foregoing finding(s) on the following: 14 () A. As to flight risk: 15 DEFENDANT HAS TO NO TIES TO THIS COMMUNITY. HE IS NOT THE PROPOSED SURETIES ARE INSUFFICIENTLY 16 EMPLOYED. 17 CONNECTED TO HIM TO INSURE HIS APPEARANCE IN COURT. As to danger: 18 (X) 19 THE AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT OF THE COMPLAINT SUPPORTS A FINDING THAT DEFENDANT TRAVELED IN INTERSTATE COMMERCE 20 WITH THE PURPOSE OF COMMITTING A ROBBERY. 21 HE WAS IN POSSESSION AND/OR CONTROL OF NUMEROUS FIREARMS, SILENCERS 22 AND BULLET PROOF VESTS. DEFENDANT'S CO-DEFENDANT MADE 23 STATEMENTS TO THE CI STATING THAT THE CO-DEFENDANT HAD 24 PREVIOUSLY ENGAGED IN HOME INVASIONS, ROBBERIES AND MURDER. 25 VI 26 27 () The Court finds that a serious risk exists the defendant will: A.

obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice.

1.

28

()

MARC L. GOLDMAN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

24

25

26

27

28